THE CLERK: Case No. 12 C 4069, Birchmeier vs. 1 2 Caribbean Cruise Line. 3 MR. TIEVSKY: Good morning, your Honor, Alexander 4 Tievsky for the class. 5 MR. O'MEARA: Good morning, your Honor, Brian O'Meara 6 on behalf of the defendant The Berkley Group. 7 MR. HUDSON: Good morning, your Honor, Tim Hudson on behalf of defendant Caribbean Cruise Line and Vacation 8 9 Ownership Marketing Tours. 10 THE COURT: And Mr. Bank is not here. 11 MR. TIEVSKY: Mr. Bank sent us an e-mail, said he 12 wasn't coming. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Well, all right, then. So, one of the things I was 14 15 going to tell Mr. Bank -- because the last part of his motion 16 is that he wanted me to explain to him who appeared at the 17 hearing on the 18th of September, at which I struck his other 18 motion for failure to appear, and whether there was an oral 19 argument; and, if so, who participated in it. 20 What I was going to say to him is that that's why God 21 invented court reporters and transcripts. So, he can order a 22 transcript of today, too. And if he wants to know what

happened on October the 18th beyond what I'm going to say
here, he can do that. But I am going to explain it. I said
October; I meant September.

So, on September -- I got a notice of motion -- it's Docket No. 732 -- that reads, and I quote, as follows:

"Please take notice that on Tuesday, September the 18th, 2018, at 9:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as counsel shall be heard, the undersigned shall appear before the Honorable Matthew F.

Kennelly in Courtroom 2103 -- " dot, dot, dot " -- and then and there present the motion by objector Kevin McCabe for an order imposing sanctions against plaintiff's counsel."

Mr. Bank said he was going to appear. He's the undersigned. Didn't appear. I entered an order saying that I struck the motion as a motion for sanctions based on his failure to appear. I will add to that as a motion for sanctions, it was frivolous. But I said I would consider it as his opposition to the motion for costs, and I did.

And although in his current motion at which Mr. Banks said, in essentially the same words that I just described, that he would -- that he shall appear like right now, five minutes ago -- although I guess he suggests in there that I don't -- that I didn't read anything, because he says, "McCabe requests that the Court read or reread, as the case may be." That's a guote.

I did read it, and I read the reply, and I read the motion. And I overruled the objection to costs because I was persuaded that the additional costs that Mr. Bank objects to on Mr. McCabe's behalf, which is transcript costs, were

```
1
    properly attributable to both appeals -- both objectors'
 2
    appeals; and, I was persuaded by the authority that was cited
    by the plaintiff class in the motion for costs that it wasn't
 3
 4
    necessary to apportion it; and, that's why the cost award was
 5
    joint and several.
 6
             Now, I guess the one mistake I made is I didn't say
 7
    specifically that it was joint and several. So, I'm going to
 8
    fix that right now.
 9
             And, so, the order for today is going to say:
10
    motion to alter the judgment is denied for the reasons stated
11
    in open court. However, at the Court's instance, the order of
12
    October 15, 2018, Docket 735, is corrected to reflect that
13
    costs are taxed against the objectors jointly and severally.
             And the reason for that is that you shouldn't have to
14
15
    rely on one or the other to pay the whole thing -- or to pay
16
    half of it.
17
             So, there you go. See you later. Sorry you had to
18
    come in.
19
             MR. TIEVSKY:
                            Thank you.
20
             MR. O'MEARA: Thank you, your Honor.
21
             MR. HUDSON:
                          Thank you, Judge.
22
23
```

24

25

Case: \$\preceit:12-cv-04069 Document #: 747 Filed: 11/21/18 Page 5 of 5 PageID #:15829